

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/471,829	12/23/1999	MASATSUGU HATANAKA	49481(551) 8004	
21874	7590 06/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	& ANGELL, LLP		TURNER, S	AMUEL A
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			2877	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
Advisory Action	09/471,829	HATANAKA ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Samuel A. Turner	2877	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addre)SS
THE REPLY FILED 1 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application and the same of the s	cation. A proper repl ch places the applica	y to a ation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moteraned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. Se 136(a) and the appropriate of fee. The appropriate extention the final Office action; or (2)	e MPEP extension fee nsion fee under 2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	ecause:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);		
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or si	mplifying the
(d) M they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
$3.\square$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	· •	_
10. Other:	- 188 -	Smith of	Im
		Samuel A. Turner Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2877	

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the addition of the limitation "a plurality of additional optical fibers arranged around the first optical fiber"would require further search/consideration as this limitation can only be found with claims which were restricted and therefor not considered.